LOCAL GOVERNMENT PERFORMANCE REPORTING FRAMEWORK

FOR THE YEAR ENDED 30 JUNE 2022

The Local Government Performance Reporting Framework is a mandatory system of performance reporting for all councils.

The regulations set out our reporting requirements for Local Government in four broad areas.

- A governance and management checklist of 24 items.
- A set of service performance indicators, which aim to measure efficiency and effectiveness of a range of Local Government services.
- A set of sustainability capacity indicators, which aim to assess councils ability to meet agreed service and infrastructure needs.
- A set of financial performance indicators, which aim to provide information on the effectiveness of financial management.

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT CHECKLIST								
Governance and management items	Assessment	Outcome						
Community engagement policy (Policy outlining Council's commitment to engaging with the community on matters of public interest)	Adopted in accordance with section 55 of the <i>Local</i> Government Act 2020	~						
	Date of operation of current <i>Policy</i>	23/02/2021						
Community engagement guidelines (Guidelines to assist staff to determine when and how to engage with the community)	Current guidelines in operation	✓						
	Date of operation of current guidelines	05/04/2022						
Financial Plan (Plan under section 91 of the Act outlining the financial and non-financial resources required for at least the next 10 financial years)	Adopted in accordance with section 91 of the <i>Local</i> Government Act 2020	~						
	Date of adoption	28/06/2022						

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT CHECKLIST (CONTINUED)		
Governance and management items	Assessment	Outcome
Asset Plan (Plan that sets out the asset maintenance and renewal needs for key infrastructure asset classes for at least the next 10 years)	Adopted in accordance with section 92 of the Local Government Act 2020	✓
	Date of operation of current Plans	Includes the:
		— Road Management Plan (26/10/2021);
		— Asset Plan
		(28/06/2022);
		— Asset Management Strategy (25/11/2014);
		— State of Community Assets Report (17/03/2015);
		 Roads and Laneways Asset Management Plan (February 2016);
		 Footpaths Asset Management Plan (November 2014);
		Building Services Asset Management Plan (March 2014); and
		— Stormwater Drainage Asset Management Plan (November 2015).
Revenue and Rating Plan (Plan setting out the rating structure of Council to levy rates and charges)	Adopted in accordance with section 93 of the Local Government Act 2020	~
	Date of adoption	28/06/2022
Annual Budget (Plan setting out the services to be provided and initiatives to be undertaken over the next 12 months and the funding and other	Budget adopted in accordance with section 94 of the Local Government Act 2020	✓
resources required)	Date of adoption	28/06/2022
Risk policy (Policy outlining Council's commitment and approach to minimising the risks to Council's operations)	Current <i>Policy</i> in operation	✓
	Date of operation of current <i>Policy</i>	Risk Management Framework and Policy 19/07/2022

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT CHECKLIST (CONT	NTINUED)
---	----------

Governance and management items	Assessment	Outcome
Fraud Policy (Policy outlining outlining Council's commitment and approach to minimising the risk of fraud)	Current <i>Policy</i> in operation	✓
	Date of operation of current <i>Policy</i>	26/09/2017 Revised policy is currently in progress.
Municipal Emergency Management Plan (Plan under section 20 of the Emergency Management Act 1986 for emergency prevention, response and recovery)	Prepared and maintained in accordance with section 20 of the Emergency Management Act 1986	•
	Date of preparation	18/07/2022
Procurement Policy (Policy outlining the principles, processes and procedures that will apply to all purchases of goods and services by the Council)	Adopted in accordance with section 108 of the Local Government Act 2020	•
	Date of adoption	03/11/2021
Business Continuity Plan (Plan setting out the actions that will be taken to ensure key services continue to operate in the event of a disaster)	Current <i>Plan</i> in operation	•
	Date of operation of current Plan	Council has an updated Crisis Management and Business Continuity Plan (CM&BCP). The draft has been reviewed extensively by the CM&BCP Committee and tested in December 2021. The Plan will be formally approved during 2022–23. Sub-plans have been developed for: — Parks Services; — Works Depot; and — Residential Aged Care Facilities. A new Communications Plan has been developed.

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT CHECKLIST (CONTINUED)

Governance and management items	Assessment	Outcome
Disaster Recovery Plan (Plan setting out the actions that will be undertaken to recover and restore business capability in the event of a disaster)	Current <i>Plan</i> in operation	✓
	Date of operation of current Plan	16/05/2022 The last IT Disaster Recover Plan was developed in July 2011. This Plan is continuously updated/modified with the latest modification made on 16 May 2022.
Risk Management Framework (Framework outlining Council's approach to managing risks to the Council's operations)	Current Framework in operation	~
	Date of operation of current Framework	19/07/2022
Audit and Risk Committee (Advisory Committee of Council under section 53 and 54 of the Act)	Established in accordance with section 53 of the <i>Local</i> Government Act 2020	•
	Date of establishment	11/08/2020
Internal audit (Independent accounting professionals engaged by the Council to provide analyses and recommendations aimed at improving Council's	Internal auditor engaged	✓
governance, risk and management controls)	Date of engagement of current provider	1/07/2020

GOVERNANCE AND	MANAGEMENT C	hecklist (continu	JED)

Governance and management items	Assessment	Outcome
Performance Reporting Framework (A set of indicators measuring financial and non-financial performance, including the performance indicators referred to in section 98 of	Current Framework in operation	✓
the Local Government Act 2020)	Date of operation of current Framework	12/04/2022
Council Plan report (Report reviewing the performance of the Council against the Council Plan, including the results in relation to the strategic indicators, for	Current report	✓
the first six months of the financial year)	Dates reporting presented	Reported to Council quarterly on 31/08/2021, 14/12/2021, 22/02/2022 and 17/05/2022.
Quarterly budget reports (Quarterly statements to Council under section 97 of the Local Government Act 2020, comparing actual and budgeted results and	Quarterly reports presented to Council in accordance with section 97(1) of the 2020 Act	✓
explantation of any material variations)	Dates statements presented	Financial reporting to Council is performed monthly until year-end. Dates reports presented to Council: 31/08/2021; 12/10/2021; 03/11/2021; 23/11/2021; 01/02/2022; 22/02/2022; 05/04/2022; 26/04/2022; 17/05/2022 and 28/06/2022.
Risk reporting (Six-monthly reports of strategic risks to Council's operations, their likelihood and consequences of occurring and risk minimisation	Risk reports prepared and presented	✓
strategies)	Dates reporting presented	Reports presented to Audit and Risk Committee on: 26/11/2021 and 27/05/2022.
Performance reporting (Six-monthly reports of indicators measuring the results against financial and non-financial performance, including performance indicators	Performance reports prepared and presented	~
referred to in section 98 of the 2020 Act)	Dates reporting presented	Reported to Council quarterly on 31/08/2021; 14/12/2021; 22/02/2022 and 17/05/2022. <i>LGPRF</i> (Mid Year) Indicators to Council on 05/04/2022.
Annual Report (Annual Report under sections 98 and 99 of the Local Government Act 2020 containing a report of operations and audited financial and	Annual report presented	~
performance statements)	Date reporting presented	12/10/2021

GOVERNANCE AND MANAGEMENT CHECKLIST (CONTINUED)

Governance and management items	Assessment	Outcome
Councillor Code of Conduct (Code setting out the standards of conduct to be followed by Councillors and other matters.)	Code of conduct reviewed and adopted in accordance with section 139 of the Local Government Act 2020	✓
	Date adopted	03/11/2021
Delegations (Documents setting out the powers, duties and functions of Council and the Chief Executive Officer that have been delegated to members of staff)	Delegations reviewed in accordance with section 11(7) of the Act and a register kept in accordance with sections 11(8) and 47(7) of the Local Government Act 2020	~
	Dates reviewed	Delegations reviewed in accordance with section 11(7) of the Act on 24/11/2020. Delegations from Council reviewed on 07/06/2022. Delegations from CEO reviewed on 10/06/2022.
Meeting procedures (Governance Rules governing the conduct of meetings of Council and delegated committees)	Governance Rules adopted in accordance with section 60 of the <i>Local Government Act 2020</i>	~
	Date adopted	11/08/2020 Updated Governance Rules currently out for public consultation. Report due to Council on 30 August 2022.

I certify that this information presents fairly the status of Council's governance and management arrangements.

Rebecca McKenzie
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Dated: 30 August 2022

Councillor Jim Magee MAYOR

Dated: 30 August 2022

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — AQUATIC FACILITIES

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments
AQUATIC FACILITIES					
Service standard					
Health inspections of aquatic facilities [Number of authorised officer inspections of Council aquatic facilities/number of Council aquatic facilities]	4.50	4.50	1.50	4.00	2020–21 the facilities were closed when Melbourne was in pandemic lockdowns. Each time lockdowns were lifted, leisure centres were deemed high risk so were the last industry group to reopen. As lockdowns lifted in 2021–22, we resumed inspections ay GESAC.
Utilisation					
Utilisation of aquatic facilities [Number of visits to aquatic facilities /municipal population]	10.85	7.55	2.21	3.83	Due to the COVID-19 restrictions Glen Eira Leisure facilities were closed and restricted for significant parts of 2020–21 which resulted in less access for patrons. As lockdowns eased in late 2021, we were able to reopen our facilities to the public.
Service cost					
Cost of aquatic facilities [Direct cost of aquatic facilities less income received/ number of visits to aquatic facilities]	-	\$3.38	\$12.61	\$3.61	The operational cost for 2021–22 decreased compared to last year because we were able to reopen and operate for the majority of the year. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure replaced two previous measures: 'Cost of indoor aquatic facilities' and 'Cost of outdoor aquatic facilities'. See retired measures at the end of this table.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — ANIMAL MANAGEMENT

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments
ANIMAL MANAGEMENT					
Timeliness					
Time taken to action animal management requests [Number of days between receipt and first response action for all animal management requests/number of animal management requests]	1.17	1.29	1.75	-	As a result of changes to the system (Pathway), the previous field used to evaluate the first actioning date is no longer available. Council is currently exploring alternative options to extract this information.
Service standard					
Animals reclaimed [Number of animals reclaimed/number of animals collected] x100	64.67%	66.25%	73.41%	75.79%	No material variations.
Animals rehomed [Number of animals rehomed/number of animals collected] x100	-	20.75%	49.13%	41.58%	Due to the COVID-19 lockdown and the travel restrictions in place the foster program for Councils pound facility was affected thus reducing the volume of animals in foster care and it's ability to rehome. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year.
Service cost					
Cost of animal management service per population [Direct cost of the animal management service/ population]	-	\$4.70	\$5.69	\$5.74	No material variations. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing previous 'Cost of animal management service' which was based on cost per number of registered animals. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.
Health and safety					
Animal management prosecutions [Number of successful animal management prosecutions/ number of animal management prosecutions] x100	-	100%	100%	100%	No material variations. Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing previous 'Animal management prosecutions' which was a measure of number, not proportion. See retired measures at the end of this table.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — FOOD SAFETY

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments
FOOD SAFETY					
Timeliness					
Time taken to action food complaints	1.69	1.85	1.97	1.47	Council implements consistent application of internal policies and procedures to customer service requests, actioning food complaints in a timely manner, either on the day complaint was received or day after receipt.
[Number of days between receipt and first response action for all food complaints/number of food complaints]					complaints in a timely mariner, either on the day complaint was received or day after receipt.
Service standard					
Food safety assessments	100%	102.37%	100.24%	100.49%	No material variations.
[Number of registered class 1 food premises and class 2 food premises that receive an annual food safety assessment in accordance with the <i>Food Act 1984</i> number of registered class 1 food premises and class 2 food premises that require an annual food safety assessment in accordance with the <i>Food Act 1984</i>] ×100					
Service cost					
Cost of food safety service [Direct cost of the food safety service/number of food premises registered or notified in accordance with the Food Act 1984]	\$747.12	\$810.05	\$742.79	\$642.13	The cost of food safety service per premises remains similar to previous years. This result reflects activity impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.
Health and safety					
Critical and major non-compliance outcome notifications [Number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications	96.52%	100%	95.71%	99.14%	No material variations.
and major non-compliance notifications about a food premises followed up/number of critical non-compliance outcome notifications and major non-compliance notifications about a food premises] x100					

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — GOVERNANCE

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments
GOVERNANCE					
Transparency					
Council decisions made at meetings closed to the public	9.86%	12.81%	4.79%	4.44%	No material variations.
[Number of Council resolutions made at Ordinary or Special Meetings of Council, or at meetings of a special committee consisting only of Councillors, closed to the public/number of Council resolutions made at Ordinary or Special Meetings of Council or at meetings of a special committee consisting only of Councillors] x100					
Consultation and engagement					
Satisfaction with community consultation and engagement	60.00	60.00	58.00	60.00	No material variations.
[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how Council has performed on community consultation and engagement]					
Attendance					
Councillor attendance at Council Meetings	87.37%	83.07%	91.81%	87.78%	No material variations.
[The sum of the number of Councillors who attended each Ordinary and Special Council Meeting/(number of Ordinary and Special Council meetings) × (number of Councillors elected at the last Council general election)] ×100					
Service cost					
Cost of elected representation [Direct cost of the governance service/number of	\$43,359.11	\$43,636.33	\$36,416.78	\$49,668.33	The direct cost of governance has an increase greater than 10 per cent due to the following: — a review and retrospective payment of Councillor Allowances by the Remuneration Tribunal with no increase given to Councillors in December 2020;
Councillors elected at the last Council general election]					 — the 2020–21 financial year was the first year for this Councillor group with Councillors undertaking additional training. While additional training was undertaken by Councillors in the 2021–22, the total dollar value decreased; and
					 new devices were required for Councillors to fulfil their role. They had previously been given returned equipment that no longer worked.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — GOVERNANCE CONT.

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments			
GOVERNANCE								
Satisfaction								
Satisfaction with Council decisions	61.00	58.00	59.00	62.00	No material variations.			
[Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how Council has performed in making decisions in the interest of the community]								

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — LIBRARIES

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments
LIBRARIES					
Utilisation					
Physical library collection usage	7.95	5.62	3.15	4.66	Loans of physical library items increased due to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions which allowed library customers to return to browsing and borrowing in library branches.
[Number of physical library collection item loans/number of physical library collection items]					Note: from 2019–20, this indicator measures the performance of 'physical library items' as a subset of the wider library collection. This measure previously measured 'Library collection usage'. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.
Resource standard					
Recently purchased library collection	71.96%	68.98%	66.92%	72.32%	New library items are purchased regularly to keep the collection fresh and relevant.
[Number of library collection items purchased in the last five years/number of library collection items] $\times 100$					
Participation					
Active library borrowers in municipality	16.54%	16.03%	13.97%	12.24%	COVID-19 restrictions and social hesitancy resulted in fewer people actively using the library service.
[Number of active library borrowers in the last three years/the sum of the population for the last three years] x100					
Service cost					
Cost of library service per population	-	\$26.50	\$23.63	\$26.24	The cost of providing library services increased due to the ramping up of activities and resources due to reduced COVID-19 restrictions.
[Direct cost of the library service/population]					Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing the previous 'Cost of library service' indicator which measured based on number of library visits. See retired measures at the end of this table.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments	
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH)						
Service standard						
Infant enrolments in the MCH Service	100.93%	100.74%	101.08%	101.12%	No material variations.	
[Number of infants enrolled in the MCH Service (from birth notifications received)/number of birth notifications received] x100						
Service cost						
Cost of MCH Service	\$77.55	\$82.92	\$84.76	\$86.50	No material variations.	
[Cost to Council of the MCH Service/hours worked by MCH nurses]						
Participation						
Participation in the MCH Service	81.49%	77.32%	70.96%	73.08%	No material variations.	
[Number of children who attend the MCH Service at least once (in the year)/number of children enrolled in the MCH Service] x100						
Participation in the MCH Service by Aboriginal children	95.24%	100.00%	85.71%	77.97%	The participation of Aboriginal children enrolled who participate in the MCH service has met the set target range.	
[Number of Aboriginal children who attend the MCH Service at least once (in the year)/number of Aboriginal children enrolled in the MCH Service] x100					Participation by Aboriginal children fluctuates due to the small number of registered Aboriginal children and this may oc when a child transfers in or out of Council part way through a year.	
Satisfaction						
Participation in four-week key age and stage visit	-	96.42%	95.38%	95.52%	No material variations.	
[Number of four-week key age and stage visits/number of birth notifications received] x100					Note: new measure for 2019–20 financial year. This measure is replacing the previous 'Participation in first MCH home visit'. See <i>retired measures</i> at the end of this table.	

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — ROADS

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments	
ROADS						
Satisfaction of use						
Sealed local road requests [Number of sealed local road requests/kilometres of sealed local roads] x100	63.45	56.22	44.98	61.92	 Road related requests increased from 224 to 309 in 2021–22. The increase was due to: The Railway Level Crossing Removal Project (LXRP) related works have been started and a number of heavy vehicles using the local roads increased, causing damage to local roads; there is a reduction in investment on road projects; and more travelling due to lifting the travel restrictions. However, the figure is consistent with pre-LXRP and pre-COVID period. 	
Condition						
Sealed local roads maintained to condition standards [Number of kilometres of sealed local roads below the renewal intervention level set by Council/kilometres of sealed local roads] ×100	92.17%	88.96%	87.35%	91.18%	No material variations.	
Service cost						
Cost of sealed local road reconstruction [Direct cost of sealed local road reconstruction/square metres of sealed local roads reconstructed]	\$137.86	\$143.70	\$138.93	\$147.88	No material variations.	
Cost of sealed local road resealing [Direct cost of sealed local road resealing/square metres of sealed local roads resealed]	\$20.58	\$24.50	\$26.68	\$23.70	The associated works with road resurfacing such as crack sealing, pit lifting, line marking vary from road to road. These wor had increased during 2020–21 and is now consistent with previous years.	
Satisfaction						
Satisfaction with sealed local roads [Community satisfaction rating out of 100 with how Council has performed on the condition of sealed local roads]	70.00	73.00	70.00	69.00	No material variations.	

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — STATUTORY PLANNING

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments	
STATUTORY PLANNING						
Timeliness						
Time taken to decide planning applications	66.00	50.00	64.00	59.00	After more than 2 years of disruption from the impacts of COVID-19, the Urban Planning Department has been able to	
[The median number of days between receipt of a planning application and a decision on the application]					adapt to a hybrid work style and focus on the efficient delivery of the planning service. The team is committed to delivering an efficient service and the improvements this year set the Glen Eira apart as a high performing planning service.	
Service standard						
Planning applications decided within required time frames	70.39%	87.71%	87.09%	89.35%	No material variations.	
[(Number of regular planning application decisions made within 60 days) + (Number of VicSmart planning application decisions made within 10 days)/number of planning application decisions made] x100						
Service cost						
Cost of statutory planning service [Direct cost of the statutory planning service/number of planning applications received]	\$2,695.71	\$3,093.79	\$2,899.11	\$2,646.07	Working in a hybrid digital work environment has enabled the department to reduce costs, while still providing sector leading service.	
Decision-making						
Council planning decisions upheld at VCAT [Number of VCAT decisions that did not set aside Council's decision in relation to a planning application/number of VCAT decisions in relation to planning applications] ×100	72.41%	83.33%	58.82%	66.67%	Glen Eira City Council had a very low number of planning applications (total of 41 applications) that were appealed to VC/the reporting year. Of these decisions, only nine set aside the decision of Council, while 14 of the appeals were settled thromediation, being more than a third of the appeals lodged with VCAT. The collective results suggest that Council is on balan making positive decisions on applications.	

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — WASTE COLLECTION

SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments
WASTE COLLECTION					
Satisfaction					
Kerbside bin collection requests	94.14	94.97	86.87	172.74	Total kerbside bin collection requests increased as a result of changing the frequencies of the red general waste bins to fortnightly and the green FOGO bins to weekly. The main increases were related to changing bin size and ordering new
[Number of kerbside garbage and recycling bin collection requests/number of kerbside bin collection households] x1,000					bins.
Service standard					
Kerbside collection bins missed	0.93	2.41	1.51	0.72	Glen Eira City Council changed the collection frequencies of the red general waste bins to fortnightly in July 2021. Although this may appear to be improved performance by Council's kerbside collection contractor, this is more reflective of the
[Number of kerbside garbage and recycling collection bins missed/number of scheduled kerbside garbage and recycling collection bin lifts] x10,000					reduced number of scheduled bin lifts in the garbage stream.
Service cost					
Cost of kerbside garbage bin collection service	\$105.87	\$106.96	\$108.30	\$94.95	The cost to deliver the red general waste bin decreased despite increases to the landfill levy due to the reduction in collection frequency from weekly to fortnightly in late July 2021.
[Direct cost of the kerbside garbage bin collection service/number of kerbside garbage collection bins]					concedent frequency from weekly to forthighly finate july 2021.
Cost of kerbside recyclables collection service	\$37.63	\$55.30	\$67.00	\$74.57	There has been a slight increase to the total amount of recycling collected in 2021-22 compared to the previous year, and more recycling bins being collected than previous years.
[Direct cost of the kerbside recyclables bin collection service/number of kerbside recyclables collection bins]					more recycling birs being collected than previous years.
Waste diversion					
Kerbside collection waste diverted from landfill	46.02%	49.24%	49.05%	61.90%	Glen Eira City Council diverted more waste from landfill due to a change in collection frequencies. Starting in late July 2021, green food and organic bins are collected weekly, and red general waste bins are collected fortnightly. The change in
[Weight of recyclables and green organics collected from kerbside bins/weight of garbage, recyclables and green organics collected from kerbside bins] x100					frequencies has supported more organic waste to be diverted from landfill, and reduced the total tonnes sent to landfill.

STATE GOVERNMENT SERVICE PERFORMANCE INDICATORS — RETIRED MEASURES

RETIRED MEASURES								
SERVICE / Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments			
AQUATIC FACILITIES								
Heath and safety								
Reportable safety incidents at aquatic facilities	6.00	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	As of July 1 2020, councils are no longer required to report on this indicator for the Local Government Performance			
[Number of WorkSafe reportable aquatic facility safety incidents]		2020	2020	2020	Reporting Framework.			
Service cost								
Cost of indoor aquatic facilities	-\$1.48	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Cost of aquatic facilities' [Direct cost of aquatic facilities less income			
[Direct cost of indoor aquatic facilities less income received/number of visits to indoor aquatic facilities]		2020	2020	2020	received/number of visits to aquatic facilities].			
Cost of outdoor aquatic facilities	\$2.38	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Cost of aquatic facilities' [Direct cost of aquatic facilities less income			
[Direct cost of outdoor aquatic facilities less income received/number of visits to outdoor aquatic facilities]		2020	2020	2020	received/number of visits to aquatic facilities].			
ANIMAL MANAGEMENT								
Service cost								
Cost of animal management service	\$42.62	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by Cost of animal management service per population. [Direct cost of the			
[Direct cost of the animal management service/number of registered animals]		2020	2020	2020	animal management service/population].			
Health and safety								
Animal management prosecutions	14	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Animal management prosecutions'. [Number of successful animal			
[Number of successful animal management prosecutions]		2020	2020	2020	management prosecutions/ number of animal management prosecutions] ×100.			
LIBRARIES								
Service cost								
Cost of library service	\$6.37	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Cost of library service per population'. [Direct cost of the library service/			
[Direct cost of the library service/number of visits]		2020	2020	2020	population].			
MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH (MCH)								
Satisfaction								
Participation in first MCH home visit	98.9%	Retired in	Retired in	Retired in	This measure was replaced from 1 July 2019 by 'Participation in four-week Key Age and Stage visit'. [Number of four-week key age			
[Number of first MCH home visits/number of birth notifications received] x100		2020	2020	2020	and stage visits/number of birth notifications received] x100.			

SUSTAINABLE CAPACITY INDICATORS

Indicator / Measure	Results 2019	Results 2020	Results 2021	Results 2022	Material variations and comments	
Population						
Expenses per head of municipal population	\$1,069.21	\$1,104.73	\$1,127.84	\$1,123.13	No material variations.	
[Total expenses/municipal population]						
Infrastructure per head of municipal population	\$3,462.69	\$3,488.29	\$3,464.70	\$4,009.13	The movement for 2021–22 is due to increased value of our infrastructure, through new capital works and revaluation of	
[Value of infrastructure/municipal population]					assets.	
Population density per length of road	308.95	314.28	317.70	310.87	No material variations.	
[Municipal population/kilometres of local roads]						
Own-source revenue						
Own-source revenue per head of municipal population	\$1,006.57	\$945.40	\$874.42	\$975.64	Our ability to earn fee income was greatly impacted by COVID-19 during 2020 and 2021. This movement reflects increased	
[Own-source revenue/municipal population]					fee income from parking and Glen Eira Leisure during 2021–22 and is expected to continue to improve in future years.	
Recurrent grants						
Recurrent grants per head of municipal population	\$156.12	\$143.85	\$141.86	\$145.83	No material variations.	
[Recurrent grants/municipal population]						
Disadvantage						
Relative socio-economic disadvantage	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	No material variations.	
[Index of relative socio-economic disadvantage by decile]						
Workforce turnover						
Percentage of staff turnover	11.5%	11.7%	17.4%	22.2%	Normal natural attrition, redundancies in residential aged care facilities, and terminations in order to satisfy mandated workforce	
[Number of permanent staff resignations and terminations/average number of permanent staff for the financial year] x100					vaccination requirements.	

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

DIMENSIONS / Indicator / Measure	Results				Forecasts				Material variations and comments
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2025	
EFFICIENCY	•	•	•						
Expenditure level									
Expenses per property assessment	\$2,449.65	\$2,542.68	\$2,560.92	\$2,485.17	\$2,608	\$2,590	\$2,685	\$2,702	No material variations.
[Total expenses/number of property assessments]									
Revenue level									
Average rate per property assessment	-	\$1,403.85	\$1,427.50	\$1,452.33	\$1,479	\$1,506	\$1,536	\$1,566	No material variations.
[Total rate revenue (general rates and municipal charges)/number of property assessments]									Note: new indicator for 2019–20 financial year. The indicator now includes all property types. This indicator replaced 'Average residential rate per residential property assessment' [Residential rate revenue/Number of residential property assessments] from 1 July 2019.
LIQUIDITY									
Working capital									
Current assets compared to current liabilities [Current assets/current liabilities] x100	139.96%	127.42%	120.68%	102.48%	100%	88%	83%	89%	Council's working capital ratio is expected to fall below 100 per cent in the next few years due to the impact of COVID-19 and a large investment in strategic capital works projects. Our long term aim is to return to a working capital ratio of over 100 per cent, however during the build of our major projects it has been considered acceptable for our liquidity to temporarily drop below this level.
Unrestricted cash									
Unrestricted cash compared to current liabilities [Unrestricted cash/current liabilities] ×100	38.39%	34.46%	-14.80%	27.48%	39%	28%	24%	29%	Unrestricted cash movement is due to timing of term deposits at June 2021. Term deposits over 90 days are not included in unrestricted cash, however are easily accessed if required. Future year movements are due to changes in loan borrowings.

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

DIMENSIONS / Indicator / Measure	Results				Forecasts				Material variations and comments
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
OBLIGATIONS									
Loans and borrowings									
Loans and borrowings compared to rates	13.56%	9.96%	6.34%	5.71%	28%	46%	42%	38%	Our loan borrowings are moving in line with scheduled repayments. Further borrowings are scheduled across 2022–23 and 2023–24 and repayments are in line with our 10 Year Financial Plan.
[Interest bearing loans and borrowings/rate revenue] x100									scrieduled across 2022—25 and 2023—24 and repayments are in line with our 10 fear finding from
Loans and borrowings repayments compared to rates	3.63%	3.50%	3.32%	3.20%	3%	2%	4%	4%	This indicator will fluctuate over the next three years as scheduled repayments for existing loans come to an end and we start repayment arrangements for new borrowings.
[Interest and principal repayments on interest bearing loans and borrowings/rate revenue] x100									
Indebtedness									
Non-current liabilities compared to own source revenue	9.84%	9.67%	8.56%	6.38%	25%	38%	34%	32%	Non-current liabilities have decreased in 2021–22 due to the scheduled repayment of existing loans. In 2022–23 and 2023–24 non-current liabilities will increase due to new loan
[Non-current liabilities/own source revenue] x100									borrowings that have been provided to fund major capital works projects.
Asset renewal and upgrade									
Asset renewal and upgrade compared to depreciation	-	120.31%	89.33%	112.29%	141%	220%	101%	89%	The 2021–22 renewal works included works unable to be completed in 2020–21. Large spends on capital works are expected in 2022–23 and 2023–24 including construction of several major strategic projects.
[Asset renewal and asset upgrade expense/ asset depreciation] x100									Note: new indicator for 2019–20 financial year. The indicator now includes renewal and upgrade expenditure. This indicator replaced 'Asset renewal compared to depreciation' [Asset renewal expense/ asset depreciation] x100 on 1 July 2019.

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

DIMENSIONS / Indicator / Measure	Results				Forecasts				Material variations and comments
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	
OPERATING POSITION									
Adjusted underlying result									
Adjusted underlying surplus (or deficit) [Adjusted underlying surplus (deficit)/ adjusted underlying revenue] x100	11.91%	3.51%	-2.88%	2.50%	0.6%	4%	6%	6%	Our surplus has been significantly impacted by COVID-19 during the financial years ending June 2020, 2021 and 2022. The improved adjusted surplus for 2021–22 indicates the start of our recovery from the pandemic related losses. Revenue levels are expected to continue to improve for the forecasted years.
STABILITY									
Rates concentration									
Rates compared to adjusted underlying revenue	58.67%	63.36%	69.29%	69.93%	70%	68%	66%	67%	No material variations.
[Rate revenue/adjusted underlying revenue] ×100									
Rates effort									
Rates compared to property values	0.15%	0.17%	0.17%	0.17%	0.17%	0.17%	0.16%	0.16%	No material variations.
[Rate revenue/capital improved value of rateable properties in the municipality] ×100									